

MULTI-SCALE REVERSE-TIME-MIGRATION BASED INVERSE SCATTERING USING THE DYADIC PARABOLIC DECOMPOSITION OF PHASE SPACE

FREDRIK ANDERSSON*, MAARTEN V. DE HOOP[†], AND HERWIG WENDT[‡]

Abstract. We develop a representation of reverse time migration in terms of Fourier integral operators the canonical relations of which are graphs. Through the dyadic parabolic decomposition of phase space, we obtain the solution of the wave equation with a boundary source and homogeneous initial conditions using wave packets. On this basis, we develop a numerical procedure for the reverse time continuation from the boundary of scattering data and for RTM migration. The algorithms are derived from those we recently developed for the discrete approximate evaluation of the action of Fourier integral operators and inherit from their conceptual and numerical properties.

1. Introduction. In reflection seismology one places point sources and point receivers on the earth's surface. A source generates acoustic waves in the subsurface, which are reflected where the medium properties vary discontinuously. In seismic imaging, one aims to reconstruct the properties of the subsurface from the reflected waves that are observed at the surface [8, 4, 22]. There are various approaches to seismic imaging, each based on a different mathematical model for seismic reflection data with underlying assumptions. In general, seismic scattering and inverse scattering have been formulated in the form of a linearized inverse problem for the medium coefficient in the acoustic wave equation. The linearization is around a smoothly varying background, called the velocity model, which is a priori also unknown. However, in the inverse scattering setting considered here, we assume the background model to be known. The linearization defines a single scattering operator mapping the model contrast (with respect to the background) to the data, that consists of the restriction to the acquisition set of the scattered field. The adjoint of this map defines the process of imaging in general.

We consider reverse-time migration (RTM) [17, 23, 14, 3, 21], and the RTM-based inverse scattering transform developed and analyzed by Op't Root *et al.* [15]. Through an appropriate formulation of the wave field continuation approach, we arrive at a representation of RTM in terms of a FIO associated with a canonical graph. We revisit the original reverse-time imaging procedure. An RTM migration algorithm consists of three main parts: The modeling of source wave propagation in forward time, the modeling of receiver or reflected wave propagation in reverse time, and the application of the so called imaging condition [8, 4].

The key contribution of the present work lies in the solution of the wave equation with a *boundary source* and homogeneous initial conditions using wave packets in the limit of fine scales. We employ the dyadic parabolic decomposition of phase space and develop an efficient procedure for the numerical evaluation of the solution operator. As an application, we consider reverse-time imaging by incorporation of the imaging condition.

Viewing wave packets as localized plane waves, our approach has certain connections to methods in which one designs sources that favor (directional) illumination of particular subdomains of the subsurface. We mention plane-wave migration and beam-wave migration. In plane-wave migration one synthesizes plane-wave source experiments [24]. Given a plane-wave source one can then introduce tilted coordinates to carry out the wavefield extrapolation relatively accurately with a limited accuracy propagator [18]. In beam-wave migration, Brandsberg-Dahl and Etgen [5] use a rotating coordinate system and essentially couple wavefield methods with band-limited properties to ray-geometric methods. Furthermore, we mention the use of coherent states in this context by Albertin *et al.* [1]. Instead of tilted coordinates, one can use curvilinear coordinates in combination with a paraxial propagator [16]; the curvilinear coordinates may be generated as geodesics initiated from a point source or a plane wave. We note, however, that these methods are downward-continuation

*Mathematics LTH, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Lund Institute of Technology, Lund University, Sweden (fa@maths.lth.se)

[†]Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA (mdehoop@purdue.edu).

[‡]CNRS, Institut de Recherche en Informatique de Toulouse (IRIT), Toulouse, France (herwig.wendt@irit.fr)

based whereas our approach is based on reverse-time continuation; also, we decompose the data although we could incorporate a synthesis of wave packet sources as well. Our approach is derived from the algorithm that we developed for Fourier integrals operator [2]. The superposition of wave packets is complete and their propagation, as well as the corresponding imaging, converges in the limit of fine scales in smooth velocity models.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we summarize the parametrix construction of the wave equation, and introduce the relevant Hamilton system and linearized Hamilton-Jacobi equations describing the geometry of the imaging process. In Section 3 we discuss reverse time continuation from the boundary and obtain a particular oscillatory integral representation for the kernel of this process, to which the algorithm for Fourier integral operators that we developed in an earlier paper applies. In Section 4 we give the asymptotic form of the RTM-based inverse scattering transform. In Section 5, we give numerical examples of reverse time continuation and inverse scattering also in the presence of caustics. We end with a discussion in Section 6.

Dyadic parabolic decomposition of phase space. We briefly discuss the (co)frame of curvelets and wave packets [6, 10, 20]. We will implicitly suppose that the data are decomposed into wave packets below, and we will develop wave packet based algorithms with accuracy $\mathcal{O}(2^{-k/2})$ [2].

Let $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ represent a (seismic) velocity field, and $\hat{u}(\xi) = \int u(x) \exp[-i\langle x, \xi \rangle] dx$ be the Fourier transform. One begins with covering the positive ξ_1 axis ($\xi' = \xi_1$) by overlapping boxes of the form

$$(1.1) \quad B_k = \left[\xi'_k - \frac{L'_k}{2}, \xi'_k + \frac{L'_k}{2} \right] \times \left[-\frac{L''_k}{2}, \frac{L''_k}{2} \right]^{d-1}.$$

Here, both the centers ξ'_k and the side lengths L'_k, L''_k follow parabolic scaling

$$\xi'_k \sim 2^k, \quad L'_k \sim 2^k, \quad L''_k \sim 2^{k/2}, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, for each $k \geq 1$, let ν vary over a set of approximately $2^{k(d-1)/2}$ uniformly distributed unit vectors¹. Let $\Theta_{\nu,k}$ denote a choice of rotation matrix which maps ν to e_1 , and

$$(1.2) \quad B_{\nu,k} = \Theta_{\nu,k}^{-1} B_k.$$

In the (co-)frame construction, one encounters two sequences of smooth functions, $\hat{\chi}_{\nu,k}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{\nu,k}$, on \mathbb{R}^d , each supported in $B_{\nu,k}$, that form a co-partition of unity

$$(1.3) \quad \hat{\chi}_0(\xi) \hat{\beta}_0(\xi) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{\nu} \hat{\chi}_{\nu,k}(\xi) \hat{\beta}_{\nu,k}(\xi) = 1,$$

and satisfy the estimates

$$|\langle \nu, \partial_\xi \rangle^j \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\chi}_{\nu,k}(\xi)| + |\langle \nu, \partial_\xi \rangle^j \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\beta}_{\nu,k}(\xi)| \leq C_{j,\alpha} 2^{-k(j+|\alpha|/2)}.$$

One now forms

$$(1.4) \quad \hat{\psi}_{\nu,k}(\xi) = \rho_k^{-1/2} \hat{\beta}_{\nu,k}(\xi), \quad \hat{\varphi}_{\nu,k}(\xi) = \rho_k^{-1/2} \hat{\chi}_{\nu,k}(\xi),$$

where ρ_k is the volume of B_k . These functions satisfy the estimates

$$(1.5) \quad \forall N : \left. \begin{array}{l} |\varphi_{\nu,k}(x)| \\ |\psi_{\nu,k}(x)| \end{array} \right\} \leq C_N 2^{k(d+1)/4} (2^k |\langle \nu, x \rangle| + 2^{k/2} \|x\|)^{-N}.$$

¹By convention, we let $\nu(0) = e_1$ be aligned with the ξ_1 -axis.

To obtain a (co)frame, one introduces the integer lattice: $X_j := (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the dilation matrix

$$D_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} L'_k & 0_{1 \times d-1} \\ 0_{d-1 \times 1} & L''_k I_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det D_k = (2\pi)^{-d} \rho_k,$$

and the points $x_j^{\nu,k} = \Theta_{\nu,k}^{-1} D_k^{-1} X_j$. The frame elements are now defined in the Fourier domain as

$$(1.6) \quad \hat{\varphi}_\gamma(\xi) = \hat{\varphi}_{\nu,k}(\xi) \exp[-i\langle x_j^{\nu,k}, \xi \rangle], \quad \gamma = (j, \nu, k), \quad k \geq 1,$$

and similarly for $\hat{\psi}_\gamma(\xi)$. Thus, one obtains the transform pair

$$(1.7) \quad u_\gamma = \int u(x) \overline{\hat{\psi}_\gamma(x)} dx, \quad u(x) = \sum_\gamma u_\gamma \varphi_\gamma(x)$$

with the property that $\sum_{\gamma': k'=k, \nu'=\nu} u_{\gamma'} \hat{\varphi}_{\gamma'}(\xi) = \hat{u}(\xi) \hat{\beta}_{\nu,k}(\xi) \hat{\chi}_{\nu,k}(\xi)$ for each ν, k .

2. Parametrix. We consider the Cauchy initial value problem

$$(2.1) \quad \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + A(x, D_x) \right] u = 0, \quad A(x, D_x) = c(x) D_x^2 c(x),$$

$$(2.2) \quad u(x, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = h(x);$$

we have normalized the pressure: $u = c^{-1}p$.

To evaluate the parametrix, we use the first-order system for u that is equivalent to this wave equation,

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -A(x, D_x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This system can be decoupled, namely, by the matrix-valued pseudodifferential operators

$$V(x, D_x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -iB(x, D_x) & iB(x, D_x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda(x, D_x) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & iB(x, D_x)^{-1} \\ 1 & -iB(x, D_x)^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $B(x, D_x) = \sqrt{A(x, D_x)}$ is a pseudodifferential operator of order 1. The principal symbol of $B(x, D_x)$ is given by $B^{\text{prin}}(x, \xi) = \sqrt{A^{\text{prin}}(x, \xi)}$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad u_\pm = \frac{1}{2}u \pm \frac{1}{2}iB(x, D_x)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t},$$

satisfy the two first-order (“half wave”) equations

$$(2.5) \quad P_\pm(x, D_x, D_t) u_\pm = 0,$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad P_\pm(x, D_x, D_t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \pm iB(x, D_x),$$

supplemented with the initial conditions

$$(2.7) \quad u_\pm|_{t=0} = h_\pm, \quad h_\pm = \pm \frac{1}{2}iB(x, D_x)^{-1}h.$$

We construct operators $S_{\pm}(t)$ that solve the initial value problem (2.5), (2.7): $u_{\pm}(y, t) = (S_{\pm}(t)h_{\pm})(y)$; then $u(y, t) = ([S_+(t) - S_-(t)]\frac{1}{2}iB^{-1}h)(y)$. The operators $S_{\pm}(t)$ are Fourier integral operators. Their construction is well known, see for example Duistermaat [11], Chapter 5. Microlocally, the solution operator associated with (2.3) can be written in the matrix form

$$S(t) = V \begin{pmatrix} S_+(t) & 0 \\ 0 & S_-(t) \end{pmatrix} \Lambda;$$

in this notation, $S_{12}(t) = ([S_+(t) - S_-(t)]\frac{1}{2}iB^{-1})$.

For the later analysis, we introduce the operators $S(t, s)$ and $S_{\pm}(t, s)$: $S(t, s)$ solves the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -A(x, D_x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] S(t, s) &= 0, \\ S(\cdot, s)|_{t=s} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(\cdot, s)|_{t=s} = \text{Id}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the solution of

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + A(x, D_x) \right] u = f, \quad u(t < 0) = 0,$$

is given by

$$u(y, t) = \int_0^t P_1 S(t, s) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f(\cdot, s) \end{pmatrix} (y) ds = \iint G(y, x, t-s) f(x, s) dx ds,$$

where we identified the causal Green's function $G(y, x, t-s)$. Here, P_1 is the projection, $P_1 \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix} = u$. Likewise, $S_+(t, s)$ solves (for $t \in \mathbb{R}$) the problem

$$\begin{aligned} P_+(x, D_x, D_t) S_+(\cdot, s) &= 0, \\ S_+(\cdot, s)|_{t=s} &= \text{Id}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the causal solution of

$$P_+(x, D_x, D_t) u_+ = f_+, \quad f_+ = \frac{1}{2}iB(x, D_x)^{-1}f,$$

is given by

$$u_+(y, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t (S_+(t, s)f_+(\cdot, s))(y) ds = \iint G_+(y, x, t-s) f_+(x, s) dx ds,$$

while the anticausal solution is given by

$$u_+(y, t) = - \int_t^{\infty} (S_+(t, s)f_+(\cdot, s))(y) ds = \iint G_+(y, x, s-t) f_+(x, s) dx ds.$$

A similar construction holds with $+$ replaced by $-$.

2.1. Oscillatory integral representation. For sufficiently small t (in the absence of conjugate points), one obtains the oscillatory integral representation,

$$(2.8) \quad (S_{\pm}(t)h_{\pm})(y) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint a_{\pm}(y, t, \xi) \exp[i\phi_{\pm}(y, t, x, \xi)] h_{\pm}(x) dx d\xi,$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad \phi_{\pm}(y, t, x, \xi) = \alpha_{\pm}(y, t, \xi) - \langle \xi, x \rangle.$$

We note that $\alpha_-(y, t, \xi) = -\alpha_+(y, t, -\xi)$. Singularities are propagated along the bicharacteristics, that are determined by Hamilton's equations generated by the principal symbol $\pm B^{\text{prin}}(x, \xi)$

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{dy^t}{dt} = \pm \frac{\partial B^{\text{prin}}(y^t, \eta^t)}{\partial \eta}, \quad \frac{d\eta^t}{dt} = \mp \frac{\partial B^{\text{prin}}(y^t, \eta^t)}{\partial y}.$$

We denote the solution of (2.10) with the + sign and initial values (x, ξ) at $t = 0$ by $(y^t(x, \xi), \eta^t(x, \xi)) = \Phi^t(x, \xi)$. The solution with the - sign is found upon reversing the time direction and is given by $(y^{-t}(x, \xi), \eta^{-t}(x, \xi))$. Away from conjugate points, y^t and ξ determine η^t and x ; we write $x = \tilde{x}^t(y, \xi)$ and $\eta^t = \tilde{\eta}^t(y, \xi)$. (We also use the parametrization in which the roles of (y, ξ) and (x, η) are interchanged.) Then

$$\alpha_+(y, t, \xi) = \langle \xi, \tilde{x}^t(y, \xi) \rangle.$$

To highest order,

$$(2.11) \quad a_+(y, t, \xi) = \left| \frac{\partial(y^t)}{\partial(x)} \Big|_{x=\tilde{x}^t(y, \xi), \xi} \right|^{-1/2}.$$

We consider the perturbations of (y^t, η^t) with respect to the initial conditions (x, ξ) ,

$$(2.12) \quad W^t(x, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} W_1^t(x, \xi) & W_2^t(x, \xi) \\ W_3^t(x, \xi) & W_4^t(x, \xi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x y^t(x, \xi) & \partial_\xi y^t(x, \xi) \\ \partial_x \eta^t(x, \xi) & \partial_\xi \eta^t(x, \xi) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix solves the (linearized) Hamilton-Jacobi equations,

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{dW^t}{dt}(x, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\eta y} B^{\text{prin}}(y^t, \eta^t) & \partial_{\eta \eta} B^{\text{prin}}(y^t, \eta^t) \\ -\partial_{y y} B^{\text{prin}}(y^t, \eta^t) & -\partial_{y \eta} B^{\text{prin}}(y^t, \eta^t) \end{pmatrix} W^t(x, \xi),$$

subject to initial conditions $W^{t=0} = \text{I}$. We note that away from conjugate points, the submatrix W_1^t is invertible. Because

$$\tilde{x}^t = \frac{\partial \alpha_+}{\partial \xi}, \quad \tilde{\eta}^t = \frac{\partial \alpha_+}{\partial y},$$

integration of (2.13) along (y^t, η^t) yields:

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+}{\partial y \partial \xi}(y^t(x, \xi), t, \xi) = (W_1^t(x, \xi))^{-1},$$

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+}{\partial \xi^2}(y^t(x, \xi), t, \xi) = (W_1^t(x, \xi))^{-1} W_2^t(x, \xi),$$

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+}{\partial y^2}(y^t(x, \xi), t, \xi) = W_3^t(x, \xi) (W_1^t(x, \xi))^{-1},$$

which we evaluate at $x = \tilde{x}^t(y, \xi)$. It follows that

$$a_+(y, t, \xi) = \left| \det W_1^t \Big|_{x=\tilde{x}^t(y, \xi), \xi} \right|^{-1/2}.$$

The amplitude of $S_+(t) \frac{1}{2} i B^{-1}$, then becomes

$$a_+(y, t, \xi) \frac{1}{2} i B^{\text{prin}}(\tilde{x}^t(y, \xi), \xi)^{-1}$$

to leading order; we denote this amplitude by $\tilde{a}_+(y, t, \xi)$. The amplitude a_- follows from time reversal: $a_-(y, t, \xi) = a_+(y, t, -\xi)$.

In the case of conjugate points, we use the semi-group property of $S(t, s)$ and decompose the time step into smaller time steps such that in each step the formation of caustics is avoided.

2.2. The source field. In the absence of caustics, we can change phase variables in the oscillatory integral representation of G according to

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} G_+(y, x, t) &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int (2\pi)^{-n} \int a_+(y, t', \xi) \exp[i\phi_+(y, t', x, \xi)] d\xi \exp[i\tau(t - t')] dt' d\tau \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int a'_+(y, x, \tau) \exp[i\tau(t - T(y, x))] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We find the leading-order contribution, \mathcal{A}_+ say, to a'_+ by applying the method of stationary phase in the variables (ξ, t') :

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{\partial \alpha_+}{\partial \xi}(y, t', \xi) = x,$$

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{\partial \alpha_+}{\partial t'}(y, t', \xi) = \tau,$$

at $\xi = \xi(y, x, \tau)$, $t' = t'(y, x, \tau) = T(y, x)$; $\xi(y, x, \tau)$ is homogeneous of degree 1 in τ , whence $\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \tau} = \tau^{-1}\xi$. With the matrix product

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\begin{array}{cc} W_1^t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \Big|_{t=T(y,x), \xi=\xi(y,x,\tau)} \overbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+}{\partial \xi^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+}{\partial \xi \partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+}{\partial t \partial \xi} & \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+}{\partial t^2} \end{array} \right)}^{\Delta(y,t,\xi)} \Big|_{t=T(y,x), \xi=\xi(y,x,\tau)} &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} W_2^t & \frac{\partial y^t}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \xi} & \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} \end{array} \right) \Big|_{t=T(y,x), \xi=\xi(y,x,\tau)}, \end{aligned}$$

we find that

$$(2.20) \quad |\mathcal{A}_+(y, x, \tau)| = (2\pi)^{-n} a_+(y, T(y, x), \xi(y, x, \tau)) \\ (2\pi)^{(n+1)/2} \left| \det \Delta(y, T(y, x), \xi(y, x, \tau)) \right|^{-1/2} = (2\pi)^{-(n-1)/2} \left| \det \frac{\partial(x, \xi, t)}{\partial(y, x, \tau)} \right|^{1/2}.$$

Furthermore, $\phi_+(y, T(y, x), x, \xi(y, x, \tau)) = 0$. Thus the source field can be written in the form

$$(2.21) \quad G(x, \tilde{x}, t) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int a'(x, \tilde{x}, \tau) \exp[i\tau(t - T(x, \tilde{x}))] d\tau.$$

Here, \tilde{x} is the source location and T is the travel time satisfying the eikonal equation,

$$(2.22) \quad B^{\text{prin}}(x, -\partial_x T(x, \tilde{x})) = -1;$$

to highest order, $a' = \mathcal{A}$ with

$$(2.23) \quad |\mathcal{A}(x, \tilde{x}, \tau)| = |\mathcal{A}_\pm(x, \tilde{x}, \tau)| \frac{1}{2|\tau|}.$$

We also write $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{x}}(x, \tau) = \mathcal{A}(x, \tilde{x}, \tau)$. We introduce

$$(2.24) \quad n_{\tilde{x}}(x) = \frac{\partial_x T(x, \tilde{x})}{|\partial_x T(x, \tilde{x})|};$$

in view of (2.22),

$$|\partial_x T(x, \tilde{x})| = \frac{1}{B^{\text{prin}}(x, n_{\tilde{x}}(x))}.$$

3. Reverse time continuation from the boundary. We introduce Euclidean boundary normal coordinates, $x = (x', x_n)$; that is, $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $x_n = 0$ defines the boundary. We let Σ denote a bounded open subset of $\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t \mid x_n = 0\}$. We denote the restriction to the boundary by R_{x_n} .

We let w_r be an anti-causal solution to

$$(3.1) \quad \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + A(x, D_x) \right] w_r(x, t) = \delta(x_n) g(x', t);$$

we have $w_r = w_{r,+} + w_{r,-}$ with

$$w_{r,+}(y, t) = - \int_t^\infty (S_+(t, s) \frac{1}{2} i B^{-1} R_{x_n}^* \tilde{\Psi}_\Sigma g(\cdot, s))(y) ds,$$

noting that

$$R_{x_n}^* g(x, t) = \delta(x_n) g(x', t),$$

for any functions g defined on $\mathbb{R}_{x'}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_t$. Here, $\tilde{\Psi}_\Sigma = \tilde{\Psi}_\Sigma(x', t, D_{x'}, D_t)$ is a pseudodifferential cutoff designed to remove grazing rays. The relation between contributions from negative frequencies and positive frequencies is

$$(3.2) \quad w_{r,-}(y, t) = \overline{w_{r,+}(y, t)}.$$

For the later analysis, we introduce the square-root operator,

$$C(x', 0, D_t^{-1} D_{x'}, 1) = \sqrt{1 - c(x', 0)^2 D_t^{-2} D_{x'}^2}.$$

3.1. Conjugate points. In the case of conjugate points, we introduce a partition of unity in $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_{x'}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_t$ (with overlap δ in time). Incorporating this partition of unity in $\tilde{\Psi}_\Sigma$, we obtain a set of cutoffs, $\tilde{\Psi}_{\Sigma,ij}$. The first index signifies a subdivision in $\mathbb{R}_{x'}^{n-1}$ while the second index identifies intervals in time.

To describe the use of the semi-group property, we fix i . Let $i = 1$ and assume that we need two time intervals, $[t, t + t_1]$ and $[t + t_1, T_1]$, say. Then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_t^{T_1} (S_+(t, s) \frac{1}{2} i B^{-1} R_{x_n}^* (\tilde{\Psi}_{\Sigma,11} + \tilde{\Psi}_{\Sigma,12}) g(\cdot, s))(y) ds \\ &= \int_t^{t+t_1} (S_+(t, s) \frac{1}{2} i B^{-1} R_{x_n}^* \tilde{\Psi}_{\Sigma,11} g(\cdot, s))(y) ds \\ & \quad + (S_+(t, t + t_1 - \delta) \int_{t+t_1-\delta}^{T_1} S_+(t + t_1 - \delta, s) \frac{1}{2} i B^{-1} R_{x_n}^* \tilde{\Psi}_{\Sigma,12} g(\cdot, s))(y) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We now focus on representations for

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_t^{t+t_1} (S_+(t, s) \frac{1}{2} i B^{-1} R_{x_n}^* \tilde{\Psi}_{\Sigma,11} g(\cdot, s))(y) ds \quad \text{and} \\ & \int_{t+t_1-\delta}^{T_1} S_+(t + t_1 - \delta, s) \frac{1}{2} i B^{-1} R_{x_n}^* \tilde{\Psi}_{\Sigma,12} g(\cdot, s)(y) ds, \end{aligned}$$

in the absence of conjugate points.

3.2. Oscillatory integral representations.

Microlocally,

$$(3.4) \quad \chi_n w_{r,+}(y, t) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint_t^\infty \int \chi_n a^{(\text{bkd})}(x', s-t, y, \eta) \exp[i(-\alpha_+(x', 0, s-t, \eta) + \langle \eta, y \rangle)] g(x', s) dx' ds d\eta,$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad a^{(\text{bkd})}(x', s-t, y, \eta) = \left| \frac{\partial(y^{s-t})}{\partial(x)} \right|_{\eta, x=x^{s-t}(x', 0, \eta)} \Big|^{-1/2} \frac{1}{2} i \tau^{-1} \tilde{\Psi}_\Sigma(x', s, \xi', \tau)$$

mod S^{-2} , and χ_n is a cutoff function which removes contributions for $x_n < 0$. The operator $\chi_n S_+(t, s) \frac{1}{2} i B^{-1} R_{x_n}^* \tilde{\Psi}_\Sigma$ is a Fourier integral operator, the canonical relation of which is a subset of

$$\{(y, \eta; (y^{s-t})'(y, \eta), s-t, (\eta^{s-t})'(y, \eta), -B^{\text{prin}}(y, \eta)) \mid (y^{s-t})_n(y, \eta) = 0\}.$$

The dyadic parabolic decomposition of phase space enters in the reverse-time continuation as

$$(3.6) \quad \chi_n(y_n) w_{r,+}(y, t) = \chi_n(y_n) \sum_{\nu, k} \iint \left\{ (2\pi)^{-n} \int \widehat{\beta}_{\nu, k}(\eta) \widehat{\chi}_{\nu, k}(\eta) a^{(\text{bkd})}(x', s-t, \eta) \exp[-i\alpha_+(x', 0, s-t, \eta)] d\eta \right\} g(x', s) dx' ds.$$

Fixing (ν, k) corresponds with (directional) ‘‘controlled illumination’’.

3.3. Boundary source decomposition, wave packets in space-time. We change phase variables in the representation for $w_{r,+}$. We could do this in two steps, changing parametrizations from $((x', x_n), \eta)$ to $(y, (\xi', \xi_n))$ and then (s, ξ_n) to (x_n, τ) . Here, we carry out this change in a single step:

$$(3.7) \quad \chi_n w_{r,+}(y, t) = (2\pi)^{-2n} \iint_t^\infty \iint a^{(\text{bkd})}(x', s-t, y, \eta) \exp[i(-\alpha_+(x', 0, s-t, \eta) + \langle \eta, y \rangle)] \exp[i(\tau s + \langle \xi', x' \rangle)] d\eta dx' ds \widehat{g}(\xi', \tau) d\xi' d\tau;$$

applying the method of stationary phase in (η, x', s) yields solving

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\eta \alpha_+(x', 0, s-t, \eta) &= y, \\ \partial_{x'} \alpha_+(x', 0, s-t, \eta) &= \xi', \\ \partial_s \alpha_+(x', 0, s-t, \eta) &= \tau \end{aligned}$$

for given (y, ξ', τ) and t fixed (which is viewed as a parameter here). The solutions, (η_0, x'_0, s_0) , are the stationary points of $-\alpha_+(x', 0, s-t, \eta) + \langle \eta, y \rangle + \tau s + \langle \xi', x' \rangle$. We have $s_0 > t$. These equations imply that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y &= \tilde{y}^{s_0-t}(x'_0, 0, \eta_0) \\ \xi' &= \tilde{\xi}^{s_0-t'}(x'_0, 0, \eta_0) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{that is, } (x'_0, 0, \tilde{\xi}^{s_0-t'}, C(x'_0, 0, \tilde{\xi}^{s_0-t'}, \tau)) \xrightarrow{\Phi^{s_0-t}} (\tilde{y}^{s_0-t}, \eta_0);$$

for given t , s_0 is determined $((x'_0, 0), \eta_0)$ and $s_0 - t$ determine a unique ray, in view of the absence of conjugate points). Thus we need to solve

$$(3.8) \quad \eta_0 = \tilde{\eta}^{s_0-t}(y, \xi', C(x'_0, 0, \xi', \tau)),$$

$$(3.9) \quad x'_0 = \tilde{x}^{s_0-t'}(y, \xi', C(x'_0, 0, \xi', \tau)),$$

$$(3.10) \quad 0 = \tilde{x}_n^{s_0-t}(y, \xi', C(x'_0, 0, \xi', \tau)) \quad \text{or} \quad s_0 = T(x'_0, 0, y) + t,$$

for (η_0, x'_0, s_0) . To obtain a unique solution, in general, we need to localize g , which we do by substituting a wave packet contribution, that is, $g_\gamma \widehat{\varphi}_\gamma(\xi', \tau)$ for $\widehat{g}(\xi', \tau)$. Then

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\alpha_+(x'_0(y, \xi', \tau; t), 0, s_0(y, \xi', \tau; t) - t, \eta_0(y, \xi', \tau; t)) + \langle \eta_0(y, \xi', \tau; t), y \rangle \\ & = -\langle \eta_0(y, \xi', \tau; t), y^{s_0(y, \xi', \tau; t) - t}(x'_0(y, \xi', \tau; t), 0, \eta_0(y, \xi', \tau; t)) \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \eta_0(y, \xi', \tau; t), y \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tau s_0(y, \xi', \tau; t) + \langle \xi', x'_0(y, \xi', \tau; t) \rangle \\ & = \tau s_0(y, \xi', \tau; t) + \langle \xi', \widetilde{x}^{s_0(y, \xi', \tau; t) - t}(y, \xi', C(x'_0(y, \xi', \tau; t), 0, \xi', \tau)) \rangle \\ & =: \theta_+(y, t, \xi', \tau). \end{aligned}$$

We evaluate

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\partial^2[-\alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta) + \langle \eta, y \rangle + \tau s + \langle \xi', x' \rangle]}{\partial \eta \partial \eta} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} \\ & = - \left. \frac{\partial^2[\alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta)]}{\partial \eta \partial \eta} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} = -(W_1^{s_0 - t}(y, \eta_0))^{-1} W_2^{s_0 - t}(y, \eta_0), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\partial^2[-\alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta) + \langle \eta, y \rangle + \tau s + \langle \xi', x' \rangle]}{\partial \eta \partial x'} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} \\ & = - \left. \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta)}{\partial \eta \partial x'} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} = -[(W_1^{s_0 - t}(y, \eta_0))^{-1}]', \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\partial^2[-\alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta) + \langle \eta, y \rangle + \tau s + \langle \xi', x' \rangle]}{\partial x' \partial x'} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} \\ & = - \left. \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta)}{\partial x' \partial x'} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} = -'[W_3^{s_0 - t}(y, \eta_0)] [(W_1^{s_0 - t}(y, \eta_0))^{-1}]', \end{aligned}$$

subject to the substitutions according to (3.8)-(3.10), and then

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\partial^2[-\alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta) + \langle \eta, y \rangle + \tau s + \langle \xi', x' \rangle]}{\partial s^2} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} \\ & = - \left. \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta)}{\partial s^2} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} = - \left. \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial s} \right|_{s=s_0 - t}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\partial^2[-\alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta) + \langle \eta, y \rangle + \tau s + \langle \xi', x' \rangle]}{\partial s \partial \eta} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} \\ & = - \left. \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta)}{\partial s \partial \eta} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} = - \left. \frac{\partial \widetilde{y}^{s-t}}{\partial s} \right|_{s=s_0} (x'_0, 0, \eta_0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\partial^2[-\alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta) + \langle \eta, y \rangle + \tau s + \langle \xi', x' \rangle]}{\partial s \partial x'} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} \\ & = - \left. \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_+(x', 0, s - t, \eta)}{\partial s \partial x'} \right|_{(\eta_0, x'_0, s_0)} = - \left. \frac{\partial \widetilde{\xi}^{s-t}}{\partial s} \right|_{s=s_0} (x'_0, 0, \eta_0). \end{aligned}$$

From these expressions we form the Hessian which is used to transform $a^{(\text{bkd})}(x', s - t, y, \eta)$ to the amplitude $a_b^{(\text{bkd})}(y, t, \xi', \tau)$, so that

$$(3.19) \quad \chi_n w_{r,+}(y, t) = (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{\gamma} g_{\Sigma, \gamma} \iint \chi_n a_b^{(\text{bkd})}(y, t, \xi', \tau) \exp[i\theta_+(y, t, \xi', \tau)] \widehat{\varphi}_{\gamma}(\xi', \tau) d\xi' d\tau.$$

Essentially, this representation corresponds with local coordinates (x_n, y, ξ', τ) for the canonical relation of the solution operator with t fixed.

3.4. Computation. We adapt the "box algorithm" for the multiscale discrete approximation of Fourier integral operators developed in [2] to (3.19), with accuracy $\mathcal{O}(2^{-k/2})$ at frequency scale k . In the general case, the medium can be laterally varying at the boundary. Then we need to employ compactly supported cutoff functions in x' , realized by the partition of unity $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\Sigma, ij}$. Within each cutoff i the lateral variation of the (smooth) velocity model is negligible, and the algorithm outlined below can then be applied for each cutoff i separately.

We now assume that the wave speed does not vary laterally at the surface and fix $i = 1$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that we need N_s time intervals $[t + (n_s - 1)t_1, t + n_s t_1]$, $n_s = 1, \dots, N_s$, of duration $t_1 = (T_1 - t)/N_s$ in order to avoid the formation of conjugate points.

Let us consider one frequency box $\chi_{\nu, k}$. We begin with computing the bicharacteristics (rays) of the Hamiltonian system, $(x'_0, 0, \xi', \tau) \mapsto (y, \eta) = (y^s, \eta^s)$, i.e. $(x'_0, 0; s) = \frac{\partial \theta_+(y^s, t, \xi', \tau)}{\partial (\xi', \tau)}$ where $s \in (0, t_1]$. For each time interval n_s , we thus obtain the *coordinate transform* [2]

$$T_{\nu, k}^{(n_s)}(y) = (x'_0, s + t + (n_s - 1)t_1).$$

The solution of the corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi system yields the propagator matrix W^s from which we obtain the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \theta_+(y^s, t, \xi', \tau)}{\partial y \partial (\xi', \tau)} &= (W_1^s)^{-1} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \theta_+(y^s, t, \xi', \tau)}{\partial^2 (\xi', \tau)} &= -(W_1^s)^{-1} W_2^s \\ \frac{\partial^2 \theta_+(y^s, t, \xi', \tau)}{\partial^2 y} &= W_3^s (W_1^s)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

ALGORITHM 1: Outline of reverse time continuation from the boundary in the case of conjugate points. In the absence of caustics, the algorithm reduces to Part I, with $N_s = 1$.

PART I – REVERSE TIME CONTINUATION FROM THE BOUNDARY, SEMI-GROUP 1

for $n_s = 1 : N_s$

1. compute coordinate transforms $T_{\nu, k}$ and propagator matrices W

2. compute $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - 1)t_1)$: box algorithm, (3.20)

end

PART II – HALF-WAVE EQUATION REVERSE TIME CONTINUATION, SEMI-GROUPS

for $n_p = 2 : N_s$

for $n_s = n_p : N_s$

half-wave equation evolution operator P_{t_1} : box algorithm

$$w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - n_p)t_1) = P_{t_1} w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - n_p + 1)t_1)$$

end

end

WAVE FIELD $w_{r,+}(y, t) = \sum_{n_s=1}^{N_s} w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t)$

We can now apply the box-algorithm to each time interval n_s and obtain

$$(3.20) \quad w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - 1)t_1) = (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{\nu, k} a_b^{(\text{bkd})}(y, \nu) \sum_{r=1}^{R_{\nu, k}} \alpha_{\nu, k}^{(r)}(y) \sum_{(\xi', \tau)} e^{i(T_{\nu, k}^{(n_s)}(y), (\xi', \tau))} \hat{g}(\xi', \tau) \hat{\beta}_{\nu, k}(\xi', \tau) \hat{\chi}_{\nu, k}(\xi', \tau) \hat{\vartheta}_{\nu, k}^{(r)}(\xi', \tau)$$

where $\alpha_{\nu, k}^{(r)}$ and $\vartheta_{\nu, k}^{(r)}$ are the expansion functions arising in the tensor-product representation of the complex exponential of the second order Taylor expansion term of θ_+ on the frequency box $\hat{\chi}_{\nu, k}$

$$\exp \left[i \frac{1}{2(\xi', \tau)'} \left\langle (\xi', \tau)'', \frac{\partial^2 \theta_+}{\partial (\xi', \tau)''^2}(y, \nu) (\xi', \tau)'' \right\rangle \right] \approx \sum_{r=1}^R \alpha_{\nu, k}^{(r)}(y) \hat{\vartheta}_{\nu, k}^{(r)}(\xi', \tau).$$

The tensor product functions $\alpha_{\nu, k}^{(r)}$ and $\vartheta_{\nu, k}^{(r)}$ can be efficiently constructed on the basis of prolate spheroidal wave functions [19, 25], cf. [2] for details.

To obtain the final reverse time continued wave fields $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t)$, we construct a parametriz for the Cauchy initial value problems for the half-wave equation with initial data $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - 1)t_1)$, $n_s = 2, \dots, N_s$, initial time $t + (n_s - 1)t_1$ and final time t . We compute these parametrices using the box algorithm (this has been studied in detail in [2]). We make use of the semi-group property and obtain the parametriz for the reverse time interval $[t + (n_s - 1)t_1, t]$ as the composition of the parametrices for the time intervals $[t + (n_s - n_p + 1)t_1, t + (n_s - n_p)t_1]$, $n_p = 2, \dots, n_s$. Finally, we have

$$w_{r,+}(y, t) = \sum_{n_s=1}^{N_s} w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t).$$

The different steps involved in modeling receiver wave propagation from the boundary in reverse time are summarized in Algo. 1 and illustrated in Fig. 2 for a numerical example.

The coordinate transform $T_{\nu, k}^{(n_s)}(y)$ and the propagator matrix W^s can numerically be evaluated as follows. Let c be the wave speed at the boundary and $\nu = (\nu', \nu_n) = (\xi', \tau) / \|(\xi', \tau)\|$. Then $T_{\nu, k}^{(n_s)}(y)$ follows from the bicharacteristics (rays, cf. (2.10)) of the half-wave equation supplemented with initial conditions $y^0 = (x', 0)$, $\eta^0 = (\eta^{0'}, \eta_n^0) = \frac{c}{\nu_n} (\nu', \sqrt{\nu_n^2/c^2 - \|\nu'\|^2})$ (for evolution time s ; note that ν_n is proportional to τ). Similarly, W^s is obtained as the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi system associated with the half-wave equation with initial conditions $W_2^0 = W_3^0 \equiv 0$, $W_1^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-1} & 0 \\ c\eta' & c\eta_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $W_4^0 = \frac{c}{\nu_n} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-1} & \frac{c\nu'}{\nu_n \eta_n^2} \\ \frac{\nu'}{\nu_n} & \frac{c\|\nu'\|_2}{\nu_n^2 \eta_n} \end{pmatrix}$.

4. Inverse scattering. We assume that a source at \tilde{x} generates the data, $d_\Sigma(x', t)$. We introduce the pseudodifferential operator

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(x', D_{x'}, D_t) &= -2iD_t \frac{\partial B^{\text{prin}}}{\partial \xi_n}(x', 0, D_t^{-1}D_{x'}, C(0, x', D_t^{-1}D_{x'}, 1)) \\ &= -2iD_t c(x', 0)^{-1} C(x', 0, D_t^{-1}D_{x'}, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we introduce the pseudodifferential cutoff, Ψ_Σ , which acts as a smooth cutoff which goes to zero near $\partial\Sigma$, removes direct rays, and removes grazing rays; that is, its symbol vanishes where

$$\frac{\partial B^{\text{prin}}}{\partial \xi_n}(x', 0, \tau^{-1}\xi', C^{\text{prin}}(0, x', \tau^{-1}\xi', 1)) = 0.$$

We assume that

$$\tilde{\Psi}_\Sigma \Psi_\Sigma = \Psi_\Sigma \tilde{\Psi}_\Sigma.$$

We let w_r be an anti-causal solution of (3.1) with

$$(4.2) \quad g(x', t) = \mathcal{N}(x', D_{x'}, D_t) \Psi_\Sigma(x', t, D_{x'}, D_t) d_\Sigma(x', t),$$

let operators L and K be defined by

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Lw(y, t) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}(y)} \partial_t^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} [\partial_t + n_{\bar{x}}(y) \cdot i\Theta(y, D_y, D_t)] w(y, t), \\ Kw(y) &= w(y, T_{\bar{x}}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\Theta_j(y, \eta, \tau) = \tau \frac{\partial B_M^{\text{prin}}}{\partial \eta_j}(y, \eta) = c(y) \eta_j.$$

Operator K is a restriction to a hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Operator $\partial_t^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$ is to be read as the pseudodifferential operator with symbol $\tau \mapsto \tilde{\sigma}(\tau)(i\tau)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$ in which $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a smooth function, valued 1 except for the origin where it is 0. The imaging operator, H , is then defined as

$$Hd_\Sigma(y) = (KL(w_{r,+} + w_{r,-}))(y).$$

To leading order symbols, we get

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} L\chi_n w_{r,+}(y, t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}(y)} \partial_t^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \iint \chi_n a_b^{(\text{bkd})}(y, t, \xi', \tau) \\ &\quad i[\partial_t \theta_+(y, t, \xi', \tau) + c(y) n_{\bar{x}}(y) \cdot \partial_y \theta_+(y, t, \xi', \tau)] \\ &\quad \exp[i\theta_+(y, t, \xi', \tau)] \hat{g}(\xi', \tau) d\xi' d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We can use (3.2) in the computations. Through a simple modification, we can incorporate the imaging condition in the box-algorithm for reverse time continuation from the boundary detailed in Section 3.4, yielding a RTM imaging algorithm. Without loss of generality, we assume here that the source signature is a delta function; general source signatures can be accommodated for in a straight-forward way by viewing them as a weighted sum of shifted delta functions. Suppose that the source travel time $T_{\bar{x}}(y)$ and the amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{x}}(y)$ have been evaluated for the image region (here, by evaluating Hamilton's equation (2.10) and the Hamilton-Jacobi system (2.13) of the half-wave equation, i.e. (dynamic) ray-tracing, [7]). We begin with the evaluation of H for partial reverse time continuation from the boundary (cp. Algo. 1, Part I). We obtain a contribution of time interval n_s to the image at $y^* = y^*(x', 0, s^*)$ if $(n_s - 1)t_1 + t \leq T_{\bar{x}}(y^*)$. Subject to this condition, the coordinate transform for image point y^* is given by $T_{\nu,k}^{*(n_s)}(y^*) = (x'_0, T_{\bar{x}}(y^*) + s^*)$ and the propagator matrices are given by W^{s^*} . We apply the box algorithm with $T_{\nu,k}^{*(n_s)}$ and W^{s^*} , absorb all the factors of the amplitude in (4.4) in the amplitude \tilde{a}^* and obtain the partial image

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta^{*(n_s, t+(n_s-1)t_1)}(y) &= \sum_{\nu,k} \tilde{a}^*(y, \nu) \sum_{r=1}^{R_{\nu,k}} \alpha_{\nu,k}^{*(r)}(y) \\ &\quad \sum_{(\xi', \tau)} e^{i\langle T_{\nu,k}^{*(n_s)}(y), (\xi', \tau) \rangle} \hat{g}(\xi', \tau) \hat{\beta}_{\nu,k}(\xi', \tau) \hat{\chi}_{\nu,k}(\xi', \tau) \hat{\vartheta}_{\nu,k}^{*(r)}(\xi', \tau). \end{aligned}$$

ALGORITHM 2: Outline of inverse scattering in the case of conjugate points. In the absence of caustics, the algorithm reduces to Part I, with $N_s = 1$.

PART I – BOUNDARY REVERSE TIME CONTINUATION PARTIAL IMAGE

for $n_s = 1 : N_s$

1. compute coordinate transforms $T_{\nu,k}$ and propagator matrices W
2. compute $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - 1)t_1)$: box algorithm, (3.20)
3. determine image region y^* , coordinate transform $T_{\nu,k}^{*(n_s)}(y^*)$, propagator matrices W^{s^*}
4. compute partial image $\Delta^{*(n_s, t + (n_s - 1)t_1)}(y)$: box algorithm, (4.5)

end

PART II – HALF-WAVE EQUATION REVERSE TIME CONTINUATION PARTIAL IMAGE

for $n_p = 2 : N_s$

for $n_s = n_p : N_s$

1. half-wave equation evolution operator P_{t_1} : box algorithm
 $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - n_p)t_1) = P_{t_1} w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - n_p + 1)t_1)$
2. image region \tilde{y}^{s^*} : $s^* = t + (n_s - n_p + 1)t_1 - T_{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{y}^{s^*})$
3. coordinate transform, propagator matrices
 $\tilde{T}_{\nu,k}^{*(n_s)}(\tilde{y}^{s^*}) = y_0$, $\tilde{W}^* = W(y_0, \eta_0, s^*)$
4. evaluate partial image $\tilde{\Delta}^{*(n_s, n_p)}(y)$: box algorithm

end

end

IMAGE $\Delta_{d_\Sigma}(y) = \sum_{n_s=1}^{N_s} \Delta^{*(n_s, t + (n_s - 1)t_1)}(y) + \sum_{n_p=2}^{N_s} \sum_{n_s=n_p}^{N_s} \tilde{\Delta}^{*(n_s, n_p)}(y)$

In the case of conjugate points ($n_s > 1$), we proceed with the evaluation of H for the subsequent half-wave equation reverse time continuation of the wave fields $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - 1)t_1)$ (cp. Algo. 1, Part II). Consider the continuation of $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - 1)t_1)$ to $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - 2)t_1)$ ($n_p = 2$). In this process, we compute the bicharacteristics $(\tilde{y}^s(y_0, \eta_0), \tilde{\eta}^s(y_0, \eta_0))$ for $s \in (0, t_1]$. We can easily monitor the condition $s^* = t + (n_s - 1)t_1 - T_{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{y}^{s^*})$ under which we obtain an image contribution at \tilde{y}^{s^*} . The associated coordinate transform is given by $\tilde{T}_{\nu,k}^{*(n_s)}(\tilde{y}^{s^*}) = y_0$, and the propagator matrices by $\tilde{W}^* = W(y_0, \eta_0, s^*)$. With these ingredients, application of the box algorithm yields the partial image $\tilde{\Delta}^{*(n_s, n_p)}(y)$; we obtain the final image

$$\Delta_{d_\Sigma}(y) = \sum_{n_s=1}^{N_s} \Delta^{*(n_s, t + (n_s - 1)t_1)}(y) + \sum_{n_p=2}^{N_s} \sum_{n_s=n_p}^{N_s} \tilde{\Delta}^{*(n_s, n_p)}(y).$$

The structure of the inverse scattering procedure is summarized in Algo. 2. Note that in the evaluation of the partial images Δ^* and $\tilde{\Delta}^*$, we can gather the incident angles $\eta(y^*; \nu, k; n_s, n_p)$ of the reverse time continued wave field which we can, for instance, use for monitoring scattering angles.

5. Numerical examples. We illustrate the theoretical and algorithmic developments in this work with two numerical examples. Although applicable in general dimension, we restrict ourselves here to $n = 2$ dimensions for clarity and convenience in illustration of the underlying computational structure. In a first example, we illustrate reverse time continuation from the boundary of a reflected wave field in the presence of conjugate points. Then, we use reverse time continuation for imaging of conormal singularities.

The data in these examples, $g(x', t)$ and $d_\Sigma(x', t)$, respectively, are generated using time domain finite difference. The computational domain is fixed to $N \times N = 512 \times 512$ samples.




FIG. 1. *Reverse-time continuation from a boundary: Velocity model (left), initial wave field at $t = 0$ (center) and data collected at the boundary $y_2 = 0$ (right).*

5.1. Reverse time continuation from a boundary in the presence of caustics. Here, we illustrate reverse time continuation of boundary data generated by a horizontal plane wave front traveling through a low velocity lens. The model is plotted in Fig. 1 (left) and consists of a Gaussian low velocity lens with a velocity variation of 40% the peak velocity of the background medium. The initial wave field at $t = 0$ is plotted in Fig. 1 (center) and the generated boundary data at $y_2 = 0$ are plotted in Fig. 1 (right).

In Fig. 2 (top), we plot the data obtained after de-recomposition of the time domain finite difference data in Fig. 1 (right) using the wave packet transform. Note that in this de-recomposition step, we can perform denoising, data regularization, or phase-space filtering (dip angle, wave number, location) in the wave packet domain and initiate “beams”. We set $t = 0$ and need $N_s = 4$ time intervals and hence a total of 3 semi-group decompositions to avoid the formation of caustics in each step of the partial reverse-time continuation. The partitioning of the data in 4 time slices is indicated with red dashed lines in Fig. 2 (top).

The center plots in Fig. 2 show the partial outputs of the reverse time continuation procedure described in Section 3.4 and illustrate its logic and structure (cp. Algo. 1). Each column corresponds with one time interval of the data (from left to right, data slice $n_s = 1, \dots, 4$, respectively), and transition from row i to row $i + 1$ corresponds with a semi-group re-decomposition and subsequent half-wave equation reverse time continuation step: The top row plots the wave fields $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - 1)t_1)$, $n_s = 1, \dots, 4$, the second, third and last rows show $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - n_p)t_1)$, $n_s = n_p, \dots, 4$ for $n_p = 2, 3$ and 4 , respectively (the partial reverse time continued wave fields $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t = 0)$ are indicated by black frames). The full reverse time continued wave field $w_{r,+}(y, t = 0) = \sum_{n_s=1}^{N_s} w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t = 0)$ is plotted in the bottom left corner of Fig. 2 (black solid double-frame).

We observe that $w_{r,+}(y, t = 0)$ reproduces well the initial wave field at time $t = 0$ (cp. Fig. 1 (center)). Despite several semi-group re-decomposition steps using the discrete wave packet transform involved in computing the reverse time continuation, there are no visible amplitude fluctuation artifacts. In particular, we note that the edges of the cusp in the data are well focussed.

Finally, note that time intervals 1 and 2 do not contain any significant energy. With the proposed procedure, it would be possible to only compute the wave field for time intervals 3 and 4 (hence, no computation time and memory would be used for time slice 1 and 2). Here, we chose to compute time intervals 1 and 2 and include them in Fig. 2 for completeness and clarity.

5.2. Imaging of conormal singularities. We proceed with a numerical illustration for imaging of conormal singularities by reverse time continuation from the boundary using the wave-packet based computational procedure developed in Section 4. The velocity model is plotted in Fig. 3 (top left). It consists of a decentered Gaussian low velocity lens (30% peak contrast w.r.t. the background velocity) and contains several horizontal line reflectors and one deep tilted line reflector.




FIG. 2. Top: Partitioning of data in Fig. 1 (right) into 4 time intervals. Center: Reverse-time continuation from the boundary, yielding $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - 1)t_1)$, $n_s = 1, \dots, 4$ (top row); reverse time continued wave fields $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - n_p)t_1)$, $n_s = n_p, \dots, 4$ for $n_p = 2, 3$ and 4, respectively (rows 2 to 4). Full reverse time continued wave field $w_{r,+}(y, t = 0)$ (bottom left corner).




FIG. 3. *Imaging of conormal singularities: velocity model with line reflectors (left); time domain finite difference snapshot for source position $\tilde{x} = 0$ (center); data after wave packet de-recomposition (right), the overlap of the time intervals partitioning the data are indicated by red dashed lines.*




FIG. 4. *Normal incident reflectivity of the model (left) and image $\Delta_{d_\Sigma}(y)$ (right).*

The (normal incident) reflectivity of the line reflectors varies with location and is documented in Fig. 4 (left). The data are generated using a Ricker wavelet with a peak frequency of $7Hz$. The source is located at the center of the boundary, $\tilde{x} = 0$. In Fig. 5, we plot the wave fields generated in the sub-surface for several time instances (for better visibility, we subtract the wave field that is obtained when the line reflectors are not present). Despite the simple model, we observe relatively complex wave fields and, for late time instances, the formation of caustics. Furthermore, we observe artifacts in these finite difference snapshots that stem from non-ideal absorbing boundaries and from multiple reflections, and in particular ringing artifacts at large time instances, after the formation of caustics. These are also present in the data, which are plotted in Fig. 3 (center).

We need $N_s = 4$ time intervals to avoid conjugate points within each semi-group step in the computational procedure described in Section 4 and outlined in Algo. 2. This partitioning in time intervals is indicated in Fig. 3 (right) on the finite difference shot record data $d_\Sigma(x', t)$ after de-recomposition with the discrete wave packet transform. Again, as mentioned in the previous subsection, we can regularize and pre-process the data during this de-recomposition step.

We approximate the source signature with a single delta function at its temporal maximum and compute the source wave field by evaluating Hamilton's equation (2.10) and the Hamilton-Jacobi




FIG. 5. The wave field generated by a source at $\bar{x} = 0$ for different time instances after subtraction of the reference wave field which is obtained when the line reflectors (indicated in white) are not present.

system (2.13) ((dynamic) ray tracing). In Fig. 6, we plot the the partial images and reverse-time continued wave fields produced by the procedure described in Section 4, organized according to its hierarchical semi-group structure (cp. Algo. 2 and also Fig. 2). Each column corresponds with one time interval of the data (from left to right, data slice $n_s = 1, \dots, 4$, respectively), and transition from (group of) row(s) i to (group of) row(s) $i + 1$ corresponds with a semi-group re-decomposition and subsequent half-wave equation reverse time continuation and partial imaging step: The top row shows the snapshots $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - 1)t_1)$, $n_s = 1, \dots, 4$ produced by the partial reverse time continuation of the 4 data slices (Algo. 2, Part I). The corresponding partial image $\sum_{n_s} \Delta^{*(n_s, t+(n_s-1)t_1)}(y)$ evaluated during this step is plotted in the bottom left corner of Fig. 6. At this stage, data slice 1 is fully reverse time continued ($t = 0$) while data slices 2 to 4 enter Part II of Algo. 2 and will be further reverse time continued after a semi-group re-decomposition. The second and third group of rows show the output of Part II of the procedure Algo. 2 for $n_p = 2$ and $n_p = 3$, respectively: $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - n_p)t_1)$ (top rows) and $\tilde{\Delta}^{*(n_s, n_p)}(y)$ (bottom rows). We stop the semigroup iteration at $n_p = 3$ because the energy of the data wave fields $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - 3)t_1)$ has already passed the image region of interest and further reverse time continuation would not add any energy to the final image. The partial image contributions of data slices 2 to 4 are plotted in the bottom row of Fig. 6, second to fourth column.

Let us finally turn our attention to the image, $\Delta_{d\Sigma}(y) = \sum_{n_s=1}^4 \Delta^{*(n_s, t+(n_s-1)t_1)}(y) + \sum_{n_p=2}^3 \sum_{n_s=n_p}^4 \tilde{\Delta}^{*(n_s, n_p)}(y)$, which we plot in Fig. 4 (right). We observe that all the reflectors are imaged correctly and well focussed, regardless of their depth, dip angle and background velocity. Note that we could further focus the image by using the full source signature (here, we use a delta source approximation). Certain reflectors are partially outside of the zone of illumination (e.g. the two rightmost reflectors at depth $y_2 = 3.2$ and $y_2 = 6.5$) and hence produce smiling “tails” due




FIG. 6. Partial reverse-time continuation from the boundary of the four time intervals in Fig. 3 (right); reverse time continuation and imaging following a semi-group re-decomposition of $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - n_p + 1)t_1)$ for $n_p = 2$, $n_s = 2, \dots, 4$ (center top rows) and for $n_p = 2$, $n_s = 2, \dots, 4$ (center top rows): snapshots $w_{r,+}^{(n_s)}(y, t + (n_s - n_p)t_1)$ and partial images $\tilde{\Delta}^*(n_s, n_p)(y)$; partial images produced by Part I (bottom row, left) and by Part II for $n_s = 2, 3, 4$ (bottom right).

to the truncation of the wave field in the data (cp. Kirchhoff migration). Similarly, the corners of the line reflectors act as point diffractors and produce tails according to partial illumination and restricted geometry. We note that the ringing artifacts in the data components stemming from the two deepest reflectors are also present in the image – the algorithm images what is in the data, and the data are imperfect. This is also the case for the image artifact at depth $y_2 = 1.3$, which results from an imperfectly removed direct arrival (cp. Fig. 3 (right) at $(y_1, t) = (3, 2.8)$).

We note that the proposed wave packet based reverse time continuation procedure provides a natural and versatile framework for partial reconstruction by enabling phase-space localized control for the data (scale, orientation, position of the data wave packets) as well as directly for the image (scale, orientation and position of reverse time continued data wave packets; full angular information such as scattering angle, reflector dip angle).

6. Discussion. We obtained a multi-scale algorithm for reverse-time migration (RTM) based inverse scattering using wave packets. To this end, we have developed a solution to the wave equation with a boundary source and homogeneous initial conditions using dyadic parabolic decomposition of phase space and wave packets. We explicitly admit the formation of caustics in the receiver wave field. We devised a numerical procedure for its discrete evaluation which is derived from the algorithm for the evaluation of the action of Fourier integral operators through approximations [2], yielding accuracy $\mathcal{O}(2^{-k/2})$ at frequency scale k . Our algorithm is organized by frequency boxes $\hat{\chi}_{\nu, k}$ of the wave packet transform. Computations can be performed independently per individual box and are hence embarrassingly parallel. We obtain an effective one-step multi-scale procedure for reverse time continuation from the boundary for a given time interval, from T_1 to t , say. In this process, we can apply the imaging condition and obtain an algorithm for RTM. We note that reverse-time continuation from the boundary yields also the foundation for an algorithm for the scattering of waves from a boundary using a single-layer potential operator [9]. While numerical illustrations have been devised here for dimension $n = 2$, the concepts and computational procedures are valid for arbitrary dimensions.

In the presence of conjugate points, we split the time interval for reverse time continuation into a sequence of smaller time intervals and reverse time continue partial wave fields subsequently for these time intervals using the semi-group property of the RTM operator. Numerically, this implies one discrete wave packet transform re-decomposition of the wave fields for each transition point from one time interval to another. After the first semi-group re-decomposition, reverse-time continuation essentially reduces to the evaluation of the wave equation for the propagation of an initial wave field, and any of the algorithms developed in [2] could be used as a computational basis. Here, we propose a “box-algorithm” due to its favorable computational complexity and practical accuracy.

The computational complexity of our algorithm is of the order $\mathcal{O}(N^n \log(N))$ per frequency box for each semi-group step (with a total number of $\mathcal{O}(N^{(n-1)/2})$ boxes; note however that depending on the data and the imaging target not all boxes will need to be computed). The computational complexity arises essentially from that of the unequally spaced FFTs [12, 13] involved in the box algorithm due to the geometry of the discrete almost symmetric wave packet transform (cf. [2, 10] for details). Reverse-time-migration imaging increases the computational cost roughly by a factor two w.r.t. reverse time continuation of the wave field from the boundary due to the additional unequally spaced FFTs that need to be evaluated in order to create the image. Note that with the exception of the source wave field travel times and amplitudes and one snapshot during the semi-group re-decomposition, our RTM imaging procedure does not require the computation and storage of snapshots. Its computational cost and memory requirements remain of the order of the one-step evaluation of Cauchy initial value problems for evolution equations, cf. [2].

Evaluation of the RTM operator for all wave packets associated with a given frequency boxes $\hat{\chi}_{\nu, k}$ at once requires the existence of a homogeneous boundary layer near the acquisition surface. When the wave speed is not constant near the boundary, we need to localize computations and either introduce a partitioning of the acquisition surface or use wave packets as individual local data quanta, yielding a packet based algorithm at the price of increased computational complexity

w.r.t. a frequency box driven algorithm.

We note that by viewing wave packets as localized plane waves, our method can be connected to plane-wave and beam-wave migration. Here, we can construct “beams” as reverse time continued data wave packets based on phase-space localized paraxial approximation in geodesic coordinates.

We finally mention that by having based our reverse time continuation and imaging procedure on dyadic parabolic decomposition and wave packets, we can extract angular information for the source and scattered wave fields. Indeed, for a given frequency box $\hat{\chi}_{\nu,k}$, we have in each image point knowledge of the incident angles of the wave fronts. This information can be directly used in the imaging process, for instance for the restriction of the image to a range of scattering angles. Future work will include the incorporation of such information in dedicated partial reconstruction and target oriented imaging procedures. Furthermore, it will be investigated in how far a dual formulation of the reverse time continuation and imaging operator with sub-surface wave packets as computational data quanta can result in robust and efficient algorithms in this context.

REFERENCES

- [1] U. ALBERTIN, D. YINGST, H. JARAMILLO, W. WIGGINS, C. CHAPMAN, AND D. NICHOLS, *Towards a hybrid raytrace-based beam/wavefield-extrapolated beam migration algorithm*, SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts, (2002), pp. 1344–1347.
- [2] F. ANDERSSON, M.V. DE HOOP, AND H. WENDT, *Multiscale discrete approximation of Fourier integral operators*, Multiscale Model. Simul., 10 (2012), pp. 111–145.
- [3] K. BAYSAL, D.D. KOSLOFF, AND J.W.C. SHERWOOD, *Reverse time migration*, Geophysics, 48 (1983), pp. 1514–1524.
- [4] B. L. BIONDI, *3D seismic imaging*, Society of Exploration Geophysicists, 2006.
- [5] S. BRANDSBERG-DAHL AND J. ETGEN, *Beam-wave migration*, European Association of Geoscientists and Engineers, Extended Abstracts, 23 (2003), pp. 969–972.
- [6] E. CANDÈS, L. DEMANET, D. DONOHO, AND L. YING, *Fast discrete curvelet transforms*, SIAM Multiscale Model. Simul., 5 (2006), pp. 861–899.
- [7] V. ČERVENÝ. *Seismic ray theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001.
- [8] J. F. CLAERBOUT, *Imaging the Earth’s Interior*, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Inc., 1985.
- [9] M.V. DE HOOP AND A. MAZZUCATO, *Single layer potentials, wave equations, and wave packets*, in preparation, (2012).
- [10] A. DUCHKOV, F. ANDERSSON, AND M.V. DE HOOP, *Discrete almost symmetric wave packets and multi-scale representation of (seismic) waves*, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote Sensing, 48 (2010), pp. 3408–3423.
- [11] J.J. DUISTERMAAT, *Fourier integral operators*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [12] A. Dutt and V. Rokhlin. Fast Fourier transforms for nonequispaced data. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 14(6):1368–1393, 1993.
- [13] A. Dutt and V. Rokhlin. Fast Fourier transforms for nonequispaced data II. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 2:85–100, 1995.
- [14] G.A. MCMCHAN, *Migration by extrapolation of time-dependent boundary values*, Geophys. Prosp., 31 (1983), pp. 413–420.
- [15] T.J.P.M. OP’T ROOT, C.C. STOLK, AND M.V. DE HOOP, *Linearized inverse scattering based on seismic reverse-time-migration*, J. Math. Pures Appl., in print (2012).
- [16] P. SAVA AND S. FOMEL, *Riemannian wavefield extrapolation*, Geophysics, 70 (2005), pp. T45–T56.
- [17] PHILIP S. SCHULTZ AND JOHN W. C. SHERWOOD, *Depth migration before stack*, Geophysics, 45 (1980), pp. 376–393.
- [18] G. SHAN AND B. BIONDI, *Imaging overturned waves by plane-wave migration in tilted coordinates*, SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts, 23 (2004), pp. 969–972.
- [19] D. Slepian. Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty–IV: extensions to many dimensions, generalized prolate spheroidal wave functions. *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, November:3009–3057, 1964.
- [20] H. SMITH, *A parametric construction for wave equations with $c^{1,1}$ coefficients*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 48 (1998), pp. 797–835.
- [21] R. SUN AND G.A. MCMCHAN, *Scalar reverse-time depth migration of prestack elastic seismic data*, Geophysics, 66 (2001), pp. 1519–1527.
- [22] W. W. SYMES, *Topical review: The seismic reflection inverse problem*, Inverse Problems, 25 (2009), p. 123008.
- [23] D. WHITMORE, *Iterative depth migration by backward time propagation*, in Expanded Abstracts, Society of Exploration Geophysicists, 1983, pp. 382–385.
- [24] N.D. WHITMORE, *An imaging hierarchy for common angle plane wave seismograms*, PhD thesis, University of Tulsa, 1995.
- [25] H. Xiao, V. Rokhlin, and N. Yarvin. Prolate spheroidal wave functions, quadrature and interpolation. *Inverse problems*, 17:805–838, 2001.